

..... (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)

(*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)

()

Bulgar539×N:200

:

:

-
-
-
-
-

..... / / /
.

(.)

(.)

()

()

()

(.)

(ÿ)

()

()

(.)

Na⁺

Cl⁻

K⁺/Na⁺ K⁺

()

(.)

()

ÿ

()

(*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)

()

)

(

/ / /

()

×

()

EXCEL

SAS SPSS

Texture	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	K (ppm)	P (ppm)	O.C (%)	pH	EC (dS/m)
-	/	/	/	ŷ		/ŷ	/	/
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	/	/

..... / / /

-

Asj×Sealand	Bulgar539
(Asj×Sealand)×(Var×Sahl×Okra)	Bulgar539×Opal
(Asj×Sealand)×Cok349	Bulgar539×(Asj×Sealand)
(Asj×Sealand)×N:200	Bulgar539×(Var×Sahl×Okra)
Var×Sahl×Okra	Bulgar539×Cok349
(Var×Sahl×Okra)×Cok349	Bulgar539×N:200
(Var×Sahl×Okra)×N:200	Opal
Cok349	Opal×(Asj×Sealand)
Cok349×N:200	Opal×(Var×Sahl×Okra)
N:200	Opal×Cok349
	Opal×N:200

%

)

(

%

-										
()										
	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	
Bulgar539	/	/	ÿ/	/ ÿ	/ÿ	/ ÿ	/	/		
Opal	/ÿ	/	ÿ/	/	ÿ/	/	/	/		
Asj×Sealand	/ÿ	/	/	/ ÿ	/ ÿ	/ ÿ	/	/ÿ	ÿ	
Var×Sahl×Okra	/	/ÿ	ÿ/	/	/ÿ	ÿ/ ÿ	/	/ÿÿ		
Cok349	ÿ/ÿ	ÿ/ ÿ	/	/	/ ÿ	/ ÿ	/	/	ÿÿ	
N:200	/	/ ÿ	ÿ/ ÿ	/	/	/ ÿ	/ ÿ	/ÿ		
-										
(MS)										
	ns	/ *	/ ns	ÿ/ **	/ ns	/ *	ÿ/ ns	/ **	/ **	
	*	ÿ/ *	/ **	/ ns	/ÿ ns	/ **	ÿ/ *	/ **	/ ns	ÿ
		ÿ/	/	/ÿÿ	/ ÿ	/	ÿ/	ÿ	/	ÿ
	/	/	/	/	/	/ÿ	/	/	/	- CV
	ÿÿ /	/ ÿ	/	/	ÿ/ÿ	/	/	/	/	-
		ÿ/ÿ		**	ÿ/ÿ		*	ÿ/ÿ		:ns

..... / / /

()

Bulgar539

N:200 (kg/ha)

(kg/ha)

()

Bugar539×N:200

(ÿ kg/ha)

Opal×Cok349

(kg/ha)

Bugar539×N:200

()

(Var×Sahl×Okra)×Cok349

Bulgar539×Cok349

Opal×(Var×Sahl×Okra)

(Asj×Sealand)×Cok349

(kg/ha)						
ab	/ cd	/ b-h	/ \bar{y}^{ef}	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{de}	/ a-d	Bulgar539
a-d	/ a-c	/ c-h	\bar{y}/\bar{y}^f	\bar{y}/\bar{y}^e	/ \bar{y}^d	Bulgar539×Opal
ab	/ a-c	/ \bar{y}^{a-c}	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-f}	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-e}	/ a-c	Bulgar539×(Asj×Sealand)
a-c	/ a-c	/ \bar{y}^{a-c}	/ a-d	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-e}	$\bar{y}/$ a-c	Bulgar539×(Var×Sahl×Okra)
ab	/ a	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^a	/ a-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{b-e}	/ a-c	Bulgar539×Cok349
a	/ a-c	/ \bar{y}^{a-c}	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{c-f}	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{c-e}	/ b-d	Bulgar539×N:200
a-d	/ b-d	/ e-h	/ b-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-e}	/ cd	Opal
a-c	$\bar{y}/$ ab	/ d-h	/ d-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{c-e}	/ \bar{y}^{a-d}	Opal×(Asj×Sealand)
ab	/ a	/ a-e	/ a-d	/ a-d	/ ab	Opal×(Var×Sahl×Okra)
d	/ b-d	/ h	/ a-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{b-e}	$\bar{y}/$ d	Opal×Cok349
\bar{y}^{a-c}	/ b-d	/ a-d	/ a-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{b-e}	/ b-d	Opal×N:200
\bar{y}^{a-d}	$\bar{y}/$ b-d	/ c-h	/ \bar{y}^{a-c}	/ ab	/ a-c	Asj×Sealand
a-c	$\bar{y}/$ b-d	/ a-g	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-f}	\bar{y}/\bar{y}^{a-e}	/ \bar{y}^{a-c}	(Asj×Sealand)×(Var×Sahl×Okra)
ab	/ a-c	/ \bar{y}^{a-d}	/ a-d	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-e}	/ a	(Asj×Sealand)×Cok349
a-d	$\bar{y}/$ b-d	/ \bar{y}^{c-h}	/ a-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{b-e}	/ \bar{y}^{a-c}	(Asj×Sealand)×N:200
a-d	\bar{y}/\bar{y}^d	/ c-h	/ a-e	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-e}	$\bar{y}/$ ab	Var×Sahl×Okra
a-d	/ b-d	/ \bar{y}^{b-h}	/ \bar{y}^a	/ a	/ ab	(Var×Sahl×Okra)×Cok349
\bar{y}^{a-c}	/ a-c	/ a-f	/ \bar{y}^{ab}	/ a-c	/ a-c	(Var×Sahl×Okra)×N:200
$\bar{y}\bar{y}^{bd}$	/ a-c	/ gh	/ a-d	/ a-c	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-d}	Cok349
ab	/ a-c	/ \bar{y}^{ab}	/ a-d	/ a-c	$\bar{y}/$ a-c	Cok349×N:200
cd	$\bar{y}/$ b-d	/ \bar{y}^{f-h}	/ a-f	$\bar{y}/$ \bar{y}^{a-e}	/ \bar{y}^{a-d}	N:200

.*

	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$
		\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$
			\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$
				\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$
					\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$
						$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{*}	\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}^{**}	$-\tilde{y}/\tilde{y}$

*** *

1. Asgariyan, M. 1998. Salt effect on germination of deferent variety annual alfalfa seeds. The 5th National Plant Breeding and Agronomy Sci., Karadj. p: 63-69.
2. Chogan, R. 1998. Study of associated yield and yield components and analysis theirs by path method in soybean. M.Sc. Thesis Ttabriz. 141 pp.
3. Cramer, G.R. 1993. Hand book of plant and crop stress. Ch. 22: 451-455. Crop stress. Marcel Decker, Inc. New York.
4. Ghobadian, A. 1984. Pedology of dry and half dry regions. Idi tabrizi Press. 499 pp.
5. Iqbal, M.A., V. Ahmad and G. Jilani. 1995. Performances of cotton genotype under different salinity levels. III- Ionic Composition. Journal of Agriculture Research. 33(2-3): 159-166.
6. Jafari, M. 1994. Evolution of salt tolerance in some Iranian rangelands grass. Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands Press. 69 pp.
7. Jafari, M. 1990. Salt and salt effects in soil and plant. Jahad daneshgahi Press. 33 pp.
8. Khan, A.N., R.H. Qureshi, N. Ahmad and A. Rashid. 1995. Response of cotton cultivars to salinity at various growth development stages. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 11(6): 729-731.
9. Munir, A. and A. Rauf. 1995. Studied on salt tolerance of cotton (G. hirsutum L.). Indian Journal of Agriculture Research. 20(1-2): 64-68.
10. Munns, R. 1988. Why sure osmotic adjustment. Australian Journal of plant Physiology. 145: 717-726.
11. Nemati, N. 1993. Cotton. Cotton Research Department of Seed and Plant improvement Research Institute. 57 pp.
12. Tabaei Aghdaei, R. 1999. Study on the potential of environmental stress resistance in some grasses. J. Pajohesh and Sazandegi. 40. p: 41-45.
13. Zhong, H. and A. Lauchli. 1993. Spatial and temporal aspects of growth in the primary root of cotton seedlings. Effects of NaCl and CaCl₂. Exp. Both. 44: 763-771.

..... / / /

Study of Relationship Between Yield and Yield Component in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Under Saline Conditions

L. Fahmideh¹, N.A. Babaeian Jelodar², O. Alishah³, S.K. Kazemitabar⁴ and H. Mosallami⁵

Abstract

To study yield, yield component and some morphological traits in cotton, six parents with 15 hybrids (totally 21 genotypes) were evaluated using a randomized complete block design with three replications at a salty farm Bandar Torkaman area in 2005. Nine traits were measured including the percentage of germination, plant height, number of monopodial branches, length of monopodial branches, number of sympodial branches, length of sympodial branches, boll number, boll weight and yield. Analysis of variance and mean comparison show highly significant differences among the genotypes for the traits, such as plant height, length of monopodial branches, boll number, boll weight and yield. Also results showed that a positive and significant simple correlation between yield and germination, plant height, number and length of sympodial branches and boll number but non significant for number and length of monopodial branches and boll weight.

Keywords: Cotton, Salinity, Analysis of variance, Mean comparison, Simple correlation

1- Ph.D Student, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University

2- Proffesore, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University

3- Assistant Proffesore, Cotton Research Institute, Gorgan

4- Associate Professor, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University

5- B.Sc. of Natural Resources Engineering Regulation, Gorgan